

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,265	07/03/2003	Gregg Stoutenburg Evans	77,706-030	4867	
7	590 04/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			то, то	TO, TOAN C	
SUITE 300 39577 WOODWARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			3616		
				D	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/613,265	EVANS, GREGG STOUTENBURG		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Toan C To	3616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	anuary 2005.			
·		s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 12-17 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patzelt et al (U.S. 4,334,699).

Patzelt et al discloses an airbag cover having an H-shape tear seam (8), the tear seam comprising: a transverse segment having a width extending beyond the perimeter of an underlying airbag door (14); and a first end segment and a second end segment opposing one another, the transverse segment extending between and intersecting each of the first end segment and the second end segment at locations distal from the perimeter of the underlying airbag door (14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patzelt et al in view of Shiratori et al (U.S. 4,148,503).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,265

Art Unit: 3616

Patzelt et al discloses the airbag comprising the tear seam as discussed above.

Patzelt et al fails to disclose the particular shape for each segment of the tear seam and interconnection thereof.

Shirator et al teaches the invention, wherein the tear seam comprising (as best seen in figure 3) the first end segment and second end segment (28), each including at least one convex segment/uniform arc/parabolic shape proximal the intersection of the first end segment with the transverse segment and substantially symmetric about the intersection with the transverse segment; each convex segment (28) adapted to be substantially perpendicular to a deployment induced stress pattern in the airbag covering; wherein, the at least one convex segment is a single convex segment adapted to have its outermost point corresponding to the intersection with the transverse segment.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tear seam of Patzelt et al by using the tear seam as taught by Shirator et al in order to ensure proper performance of the airbag upon inflation, and to smoothly inflate the airbag such that providing better protection for occupant.

5. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patzelt et al in view of Gardner, Jr (U.S. 6,753,057).

Patzelt et al does not explicitly disclose how the tear seam is formed.

Gardner teaches the invention wherein the tear seam is formed by a laser and the tear seam is either continuous or discontinuous. It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/613,265

Art Unit: 3616

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tear seam of Patzelt et al by using the method of making the tear seam as taught by Gardner in order to ensure proper performance of the airbag upon inflation, and to smoothly inflate the airbag such that providing better protection for occupant.

Further, claims 8-11 are considered as a product-by-process claims, MPEP 2113 state that:

The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by different process.

For this reason alone, claim 8-11 are unpatentable over the prior art as to Patzelt et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claims 12-17 are allowed.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/613,265

Art Unit: 3616

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTo // March 29, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5